

## Properties of Magic Squares of Squares

Landon W. Rabern  
*Washington University*, [landonrabern@hotmail.com](mailto:landonrabern@hotmail.com)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/rhumj>

---

### Recommended Citation

Rabern, Landon W. (2003) "Properties of Magic Squares of Squares," *Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal*: Vol. 4 : Iss. 1 , Article 3.  
Available at: <https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/rhumj/vol4/iss1/3>

# Properties of Magic Squares of Squares

Landon W. Rabern  
1120 NW Harlan St.  
Roseburg, OR 97470  
landonrabern@hotmail.com

July 2002

A problem due to Martin LaBar is to find a 3x3 magic square with 9 distinct perfect square entries or prove that such a magic square cannot exist (LaBar [1]). This problem has been tied to various domains including arithmetic progressions, rational right triangles, and elliptic curves (Robertson [2]). However, there are some interesting properties that can be derived without ever leaving the domain of magic squares. I will assume that a solution exists and prove properties of such a solution. Any solution must have the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a^2 & b^2 & c^2 \\ d^2 & e^2 & f^2 \\ g^2 & h^2 & s^2 \end{array}$$

If  $M$  denotes the magic number, then  $M$  is the sum of each row, column, or main diagonal. We know from Gardner [3] that  $M$  must equal three times the middle square, so  $M = 3e^2$ .

Let  $t$  be the greatest common divisor of  $a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2, f^2, g^2, h^2$  and  $s^2$ . If  $t \neq 1$  then  $t$  is a square, thus we can divide all entries by  $t$  to produce a new solution with a smaller magic number ( $M/t$ ). For this reason, it will be assumed throughout this paper that the entries are relatively prime ( $t = 1$ ).

**Theorem 1.1** *All entries of the magic square must be odd.*

Proof: Using the fact that the the entries on the left side of the square must sum to  $M$  we get

$$a^2 + d^2 + g^2 = M = 3e^2$$

Hence  $a^2 + g^2 = 3e^2 - d^2$ , and in particular,

$$a^2 + g^2 \equiv 3e^2 - d^2 \pmod{4}$$

With  $e$  odd and  $d$  even, we have  $a^2 + g^2 \equiv 3 - 0 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Taking  $e$  even and  $d$  odd gives  $a^2 + g^2 \equiv 0 - 1 \equiv -1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . This is impossible since

$a^2 + g^2 \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$ . Therefore,  $e$  and  $d$  must have the same parity. Both  $e$  and  $d$  odd gives  $a^2 + g^2 \equiv 3 - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . This implies that  $a$  and  $g$  must be odd. Both  $e$  and  $d$  even gives  $a^2 + g^2 \equiv 0 - 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . This implies that  $a$  and  $g$  must be even. Thus  $a \equiv g \equiv e \equiv d \pmod{2}$ .

Arguing in a similar fashion for the other sides of the square we find that  $a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv d \equiv e \equiv f \equiv g \equiv h \equiv s \pmod{2}$ . Thus, if any element is even they are all even, contradicting the fact that the elements are relatively prime. Hence, all entries are odd. ■

Using the rows, columns and main diagonals that pass through the center of the square we have

$$a^2 + e^2 + s^2 = d^2 + e^2 + f^2 = b^2 + e^2 + h^2 = g^2 + e^2 + c^2 = 3e^2$$

from which it follows that

$$a^2 + s^2 = d^2 + f^2 = b^2 + h^2 = g^2 + c^2 = 2e^2$$

We can now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** *The only prime divisors of  $e$  are of the form  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

Proof: We just need to show that no prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  can divide  $e$ . We use the fact that the ring of Gaussian integers  $Z[i]$  is a Unique Factorization Domain(UFD). Factoring the left side of  $a^2 + s^2 = 2e^2$  in  $Z[i]$ , we get  $(a + si)(a - si) = 2e^2$ . Given an odd prime  $p \in Z$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $Z[i]$  if and only if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  (See Lemma 1.1 in the Appendix). Thus, assume we have a  $p$  such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \mid e$ . Then we must have either  $p \mid (a + si)$  or  $p \mid (a - si)$ . If  $p \mid (a + si)$ , then  $a + si = pk$  and by complex conjugation  $a - si = \overline{pk} = p\overline{k}$ . Hence  $p \mid (a - si)$ . But then  $p$  must also divide their sum and difference:  $p \mid 2si$  and  $p \mid 2a$ . Hence  $p \mid s$  and  $p \mid a$  since  $p$  is odd and real.

Similarly,  $p \mid d$ ,  $p \mid f$ ,  $p \mid b$ ,  $p \mid h$ ,  $p \mid g$ , and  $p \mid c$ . Hence,  $p$  divides every entry which is impossible. ■

**Theorem 1.3** *If a prime  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  divides a non-center entry then  $p$  also divides the center and the other entry in that line.*

Proof: Without loss of generality we prove the result for the  $a, e, s$  diagonal. We use the fact that the ring  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  is a UFD. Given an odd prime  $p \in Z$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  if and only if  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  (See Lemma 1.2 in the Appendix).

Since  $a^2 + s^2 = 2e^2$  implies  $a^2 = -(s^2 - 2e^2)$ , we can factor the right side of this equation in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  to get

$$a^2 = -(s + e\sqrt{2})(s - e\sqrt{2}).$$

If  $p \mid a$  and  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ , then either  $p \mid (s + e\sqrt{2})$  or  $p \mid (s - e\sqrt{2})$ . If  $p \mid (s + e\sqrt{2})$ , then  $s + e\sqrt{2} = pk$ , and by conjugation  $s - e\sqrt{2} = p\bar{k}$ . Hence  $p \mid (s - e\sqrt{2})$ . Thus  $p$  divides their sum and difference:  $p \mid 2s$  and  $p \mid 2e\sqrt{2}$ . Hence  $p \mid s$  and  $p \mid e$  since  $p$  is odd and rational. ■

**Corollary 1.1** *No prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  divides any entry.*

Proof: If  $p$  divides some non-center entry, then by Theorem 1.3,  $p$  divides  $e$ . But from Theorem 1.2, we know that  $p$  cannot divide  $e$  since  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \Rightarrow p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . ■

Gardner [3] has shown that given any 3x3 magic square of distinct positive integers, there are three positive integers  $x, y, z$  so that the magic square can be written in the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x + y + 2z & x & x + 2y + z \\ x + 2y & x + y + z & x + 2z \\ x + z & x + 2y + 2z & x + y \end{array}$$

Looking at this we quickly see that  $d^2 + h^2 = 2c^2$  with similar relations holding for the other corner entries. This relation can be stated as

Twice the corner entry equals the sum of the two middle-side entries that are not adjacent to the corner.

We can now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4** *No prime  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  divides a middle-side entry.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, let the middle-side entry be  $d^2$ . Again, we use the fact that the ring  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  is a UFD. Given an odd prime  $p \in Z$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  if and only if  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  (See Lemma 1.2 in the Appendix).

Since  $d^2 + h^2 = 2c^2$  implies  $d^2 = -(h^2 - 2c^2)$ , we can factor the right side of this equation in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  to get

$$d^2 = -(h + c\sqrt{2})(h - c\sqrt{2})$$

If  $p \mid d$  and  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  then either  $p \mid (h + c\sqrt{2})$  or  $p \mid (h - c\sqrt{2})$ . If  $p \mid (h + c\sqrt{2})$ , then  $h + c\sqrt{2} = pk$  and by conjugation  $h - c\sqrt{2} = p\bar{k}$ . Hence  $p \mid (h - c\sqrt{2})$ . Thus  $p$  divides their sum and difference:  $p \mid 2h$  and  $p \mid 2c\sqrt{2}$ . Hence  $p \mid h$  and  $p \mid c$  since  $p$  is odd and rational. Since  $p \mid h$  we can use the same argument to show that  $p \mid f$  and  $p \mid a$ . But then, since  $p \mid f$ , we can use the same argument again to show that  $p \mid b$  and  $p \mid g$ . Since  $p$  divides both  $a$  and  $s$ ,  $p$  must also divide  $e$ . Hence  $p$  divides all entries, which is impossible. ■

**Theorem 1.5** *If a prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  divides a corner entry then it divides the two middle-side entries that are not adjacent to the corner.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, let the corner entry be  $c^2$ . Again, we use the fact that the ring of Gaussian integers  $Z[i]$  is a UFD. Factoring the left side of  $d^2 + h^2 = 2c^2$  in  $Z[i]$ , we get  $(d + hi)(d - hi) = 2c^2$ . If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then  $p$  is prime in  $Z[i]$  (See Lemma 1.1 in the Appendix). Thus if  $p \mid c$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then either  $p \mid (d + hi)$  or  $p \mid (d - hi)$ . If  $p \mid (d + hi)$ , then  $d + hi = pk$ , and by conjugation  $d - hi = p\bar{k}$ . Hence  $p \mid (d - hi)$ . Thus  $p$  divides their sum and difference:  $p \mid 2d$  and  $p \mid 2hi$ . Hence  $p \mid d$  and  $p \mid h$  since  $p$  is odd and real. ■

All of these properties taken together severely restrict the possible placement of primes that are not of the form  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Given these restrictions, one might conjecture that if there is a solution, then all prime divisors of all entries are of the form  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . This would greatly reduce the number of possibilities. It would also be interesting to disprove this conjecture by proving the opposite; namely, that any solution must have at least one entry with prime divisor  $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ .

## APPENDIX

We need to know when an odd prime  $p \in Z$  is also prime in the extensions  $Z[i]$  and  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$ . The following two lemmas answer this question completely.

**Lemma 1.1** *Given an odd prime  $p \in Z$ ,*

$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \Leftrightarrow p \text{ prime in } Z[i]$$

Proof: We use the fact that  $Z[i]$  is a UFD.

First, we assume that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and show that  $p$  must be prime in  $Z[i]$ . If  $p$  is composite in  $Z[i]$  then  $p$  has a factorization  $p = \alpha\beta$  with  $N(\alpha) > 1$  and  $N(\beta) > 1$ . Taking the norm of both sides we get  $p^2 = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . It is not possible for  $p^2$  to divide  $N(\alpha)$  or  $N(\beta)$  since this would imply  $N(\beta) = 1$ ,  $N(\alpha) = 1$  respectively. Hence  $N(\alpha) = p$  and  $N(\beta) = p$ . From the former we get  $p = N(\alpha) = x^2 + y^2$  for some  $x, y \in Z$ . Thus  $p \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  which is a contradiction. ■

Now we assume that  $p$  is prime in  $Z[i]$  and show that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then the equation  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution. Hence  $x^2 + 1 = kp$ . Factoring in  $Z[i]$  we get  $(x + i)(x - i) = kp$ . Since  $p$  is prime, it must divide one of the factors and by complex conjugation it divides both. Therefore  $p$  divides their difference:  $p \mid 2i$ . This is impossible since  $p$  is odd and real (Beukers [4]). ■

**Lemma 1.2** *Given an odd prime  $p \in Z$ ,*

$$p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \Leftrightarrow p \text{ prime in } Z[\sqrt{2}]$$

Proof: We use the fact that  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  is a UFD.

First, we assume that  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  and show that  $p$  must be prime in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$ . If  $p$  composite in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  then  $p$  has a factorization  $p = \alpha\beta$  with  $|N(\alpha)| > 1$  and  $|N(\beta)| > 1$ . Taking the norm of both sides we get  $p^2 = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . It is not possible for  $p^2$  to divide  $N(\alpha)$  or  $N(\beta)$  since this would imply  $|N(\beta)| = 1$ ,  $|N(\alpha)| = 1$  respectively. Hence  $|N(\alpha)| = p$  and  $|N(\beta)| = p$ . From the former we get  $p = \pm N(\alpha) = \pm(x^2 - 2y^2)$  for some  $x, y \in Z$ . Thus  $p \equiv 0, 1, 2, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$  which is a contradiction. ■

Now we assume that  $p$  is prime in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  and show that  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ . If  $p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}$  then the equation  $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$  has a solution. Hence  $x^2 - 2 = kp$ . Factoring in  $Z[\sqrt{2}]$  we get  $(x + \sqrt{2})(x - \sqrt{2}) = kp$ . Since  $p$  is prime, it must divide one of the factors and by conjugation it divides both. Therefore  $p$  divides their difference:  $p \mid 2\sqrt{2}$ . This is impossible since  $p$  is odd and rational. ■

#### REFERENCES

1. Martin LaBar, Problem 270, *College Math Journal*, 15, 1984, p. 69.
2. John P. Robertson, *Magic Squares of Squares*, Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 69, No. 4, Oct. 1996, pp. 289-293
3. Martin Gardner, *Riddles of the Sphinx*, Mathematical Association of America, 1987, pp. 136-137.
4. Frits Beukers, *Elementary Number Theory*, Lecture Notes for a course titled "Elementaire Getaltheorie" at Utrecht University, The Netherlands, Sept. 2001, p. 55. Unpublished.