

## A Reverse Sierpinski Number Problem

Daniel Krywaruczenko

*University of Tennessee at Martin*, dk226@msstate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/rhumj>

---

### Recommended Citation

Krywaruczenko, Daniel (2008) "A Reverse Sierpinski Number Problem," *Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal*: Vol. 9 : Iss. 2 , Article 4.

Available at: <https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/rhumj/vol9/iss2/4>

# A REVERSE SIERPIŃSKI NUMBER PROBLEM

DAN KRYWARUCZENKO

ABSTRACT. A generalized Sierpiński number base  $b$  is an integer  $k > 1$  for which  $\gcd(k+1, b-1) = 1$ ,  $k$  is not a rational power of  $b$ , and  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  is composite for all  $n > 0$ . Given an integer  $k > 0$ , we will seek a base  $b$  for which  $k$  is a generalized Sierpiński number base  $b$ . We will show that this is not possible if  $k$  is a Mersenne number. We will give an algorithm which will work for all other  $k$  provided that there exists a composite in the sequence  $\{(k^{2^m} + 1) / \gcd(k+1, 2)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Sierpiński number  $k > 0$  is an odd number such that  $k \cdot 2^n + 1$  is composite for all integers  $n > 0$ . Waclaw Sierpiński, in 1960, proved that there are infinitely many such numbers [12] but found no exact values. (This is a dual of a problem of Euler that Erdős solved in 1950 [6].) In 1962 John Selfridge discovered what may be the smallest Sierpiński number, 78,557. He showed that each term in the sequence  $78557 \cdot 2^n + 1$  is divisible by one of the primes in the covering set  $\{3, 5, 7, 13, 19, 37, 73\}$ . After 45 years of computing there remains only 6 possible numbers less than 78,557 that must be eliminated to prove this is true [9].

In our previous paper [1], we extended the definition of Sierpiński numbers to include other bases (as below) and found Sierpiński numbers for each of the bases  $2 \leq b \leq 100$ . We proved that for 33 of the bases, these were the least possible Sierpiński numbers.

**Definition 1.1.** A **Sierpiński number base**  $b$  is an integer  $k > 1$  for which  $\gcd(k+1, b-1) = 1$ ,  $k$  is not a rational power of  $b$ , and  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  is composite for all  $n > 0$ .

The gcd condition is to avoid having a single prime which divides every term of the sequence; these are called trivial covers (or 1-covers). The rational power condition avoids numbers of the form  $b^{2^n} + 1$ , the generalized Fermat numbers. Some researchers do not exclude these and instead exclude those  $k$  which allow polynomial factorization [8]. Others have generalized the notion of Sierpiński numbers by altering the conditions on  $k$  without changing the base  $b$  [11, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7].

---

*Key words and phrases.* Sierpiński number, covering set, generalized Fermat number, Mersenne number.

This research was completed at the University of Tennessee at Martin under the direction of Dr. Chris K. Caldwell.

**Definition 1.2.** A **cover** for the sequence  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  ( $n > 0$ ) is a finite set of primes  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$  for which each element of the sequence is divisible by a prime in  $S$ .  $S$  is called a **N-cover** if  $N$  is the least positive integer for which each prime in  $S$  divides  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  if and only if it divides  $k \cdot b^{n+N} + 1$ . We will call this integer  $N$  the **period of the cover**  $S$ .

In our previous paper we showed that every integer base  $b > 1$  admitted a Sierpiński number  $k$ . In this paper we will reverse the problem and ask for each integer  $k > 1$  is there a base  $b$  for which  $k$  is a Sierpiński number.

Using a program to find Sierpiński numbers for small bases [1] we found that every positive integer less than 3000 is a Sierpiński number (with a cover with period dividing 5040) for some base less than 10,000,000 except for  $k = 2, 3, 5, 7, 15, 31, 63, 65, 127, 255, 511, 1023$ , and 2047.

A Mersenne is a number of the form  $2^m - 1$  where (e.g.,  $2^8 - 1$ ). We will show that when  $k$  is a Mersenne number,  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  can not have a non-trivial cover. Most Sierpiński numbers arise through covers, though it is also possible for them to arise by algebraic factorization or a combination of the two [1]. But it is very unlikely that such factorizations arise when  $k$  is a Mersenne [8, 10]. Once we eliminate the Mersenne numbers from the list above we are left with 2, 5, and 65.

It was conjectured that there is no base which makes 2 a Sierpiński number [13]. We will find bases for which 2, 5, and 65 are Sierpiński numbers, and then characterize those  $k$  which cannot be Sierpiński numbers.

## 2. PRELIMINARY THEOREMS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  has a cover  $S$  with period  $N$ . Then each prime in  $S$  divides  $(-k)^N - 1$  and  $b^N - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be such a cover and let  $p \in S$ . Then  $p$  divides  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  for some  $n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq N$ . Since  $N$  is our period and  $p \in S$  we know  $p$  divides  $k \cdot b^n + 1$ ,  $k \cdot b^{n+N} + 1$ , and their difference  $k \cdot b^n(b^N - 1)$ , but does not divide  $k \cdot b^n$ . So  $b^N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Now  $k \cdot b^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  so  $k \equiv -b^{-n} \pmod{p}$  and  $(-k)^N \equiv (b^{-n})^N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

Notice 2 cannot be a member of a non-trivial cover because if 2 divides  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  and 2 divides  $b^N - 1$ , then 2 divides  $\gcd(b - 1, k + 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $k$  is a Mersenne number and  $n \geq 0$ , then  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  cannot have a non-trivial cover.*

*Proof.* We will prove the contrapositive. Let  $S$  be a non-trivial cover of  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  with period  $N$ . Then there is some odd prime  $p \in S$  which divides  $k \cdot b^N + 1$ . By the previous theorem,  $b^N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , so  $p$  divides  $k + 1$ . This means  $k + 1$  has an odd prime divisor. Therefore,  $k + 1$  is not a power of 2.  $\square$

These theorem suggests the following approach to finding a base  $b$  which makes  $k$  a Sierpiński number. First, select a period  $N$  for the cover. Then

factor  $(-k)^N - 1$ . Using each of its prime divisors  $p$ , we would find a specific  $b$  value such that  $p$  divides  $b^N - 1$ , but not  $b - 1$ , and  $p$  divides  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  for some  $n < N$ . If this is possible, then a list could be formed showing the specific  $b$  values and  $n$  values satisfying the requirements for each prime. After repeating this for each prime, determine whether there is a set of bases and primes such that the entire set of  $N$  terms would be covered. If so, we then solve the problem by using the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

We used this method to solve for  $b$  when  $k = 12$ . We have  $(-12)^6 - 1 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 157$ . Using the primes 7, 13, and 19 coupled respectively with base residues 4, 3, and 7 for  $b$ , the Chinese Remainder Theorem gave the result  $b = 900$ . The primes in the cover  $\{7, 13, 19\}$  divide the sequence of terms  $12 \cdot 900^n + 1$  with period 3 in the following pattern.

$$\underbrace{7, 19, 13}, \underbrace{7, 19, 13}, \dots$$

However, with  $k = 2$  we ran into difficulties. The approach appeared to fail for all periods  $N \leq 60$ . For example, when we set our period at 60 (a smooth number which is likely to yield results), we cover either  $2 \cdot b^{15} + 1$  or  $2 \cdot b^{45} + 1$  but not both. When we set the period at 48, this process would fail at one of these specific  $n$  values:  $\{3, 9, 17, 15, 21, 27, 33, 39, 41, 45\}$ . The key problem is that  $k \cdot b^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  may be impossible to solve for a certain  $k$ ,  $n$ , and  $p$ ; so we will give an alternative approach in the next section.

### 3. PERIOD LENGTH A POWER OF TWO

We need a few more results before we present our alternate method.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $n > m \geq 0$ , then  $\gcd(k^{2^m} + 1, k^{2^n} + 1) = \gcd(k + 1, 2)$ .*

*Proof.* Note  $k^{2^m} + 1$  is one of the terms on the left of

$$(k - 1)(k + 1)(k^2 + 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (k^{2^{n-1}} + 1) = k^{2^n} - 1 = (k^{2^n} + 1) - 2,$$

so  $\gcd(k^{2^m} + 1, k^{2^n} + 1)$  divides 2. If  $k$  is even then the greatest common divisor is one; otherwise it is 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $n > 0$  and  $k > 1$ , then  $k^{2^n} + 1$  has an odd prime factor.*

*Proof.* If  $k$  is even, then  $k^{2^n} + 1$  is an odd number greater than 1, so we are done. If instead  $k$  is odd, then  $\gcd(k^{2^n} + 1, 4) = 2$  and  $(k^{2^n} + 1)/2$  is an odd number greater than or equal to 5, so again it has an odd prime factor.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime which divides  $k^{2^m} + 1$  for some fixed integer  $m > 0$ . For all odd integers  $n$  it is possible to solve  $k \cdot b^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for a solution  $b = (-k)^j$ . This solution satisfies  $k \cdot b^M + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $\text{ord}_p(b)$  divides  $M - n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m > 0$ . From what we are given  $(-k)^{2^m} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , hence  $(-k)^{2^{m+1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . So we know  $-k$  has order  $2^{m+1}$  modulo  $p$ . To solve  $k \cdot b^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $b$ , let  $b = (-k)^j$  for some  $j \geq 0$  and solve

$(-k)^{j \cdot n + 1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . It is sufficient to solve  $j \cdot n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{ord}_p(-k)}$  which is possible because  $n$  and the order of  $-k \pmod{p}$  are relatively prime. Note that  $j$  must be odd, so the solution  $b$  has order  $2^{m+1}$  also.  $\square$

We are now able to present our new approach as Algorithm 1.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Find  $b$  so that  $k$  is a Sierpiński number base  $b$

---

```

1  input  $k > 1$ 
2  if  $k$  is a Mersenne number then
3    | return “[probably] not possible”
4  else
5    |  $m \leftarrow -1$ 
6    | repeat
7    |   |  $m \leftarrow m + 1$ 
8    |   |  $p_m \leftarrow$  the least odd prime which divides  $k^{2^m} + 1$ 
9    |   |  $n \leftarrow 2^m + 1$ 
10   |   | solve  $k \cdot b_m^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_m}$  for  $b_m$ 
11   |   | until  $m > 0$  and there is another odd prime which divides
12   |   |  $k^{2^m} + 1$ 
13   |   | call this second prime  $p_{m+1}$ 
14   |   | define  $b_{m+1}$  by  $k \cdot b_{m+1} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_{m+1}}$ 
15   |   | find  $b$  so that  $b \equiv b_i \pmod{p_i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m + 1$ , and
16   |   |  $\text{gcd}(k + 1, b - 1) = 1$ 
17   |   | return base  $b$ , cover  $S = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{m+1}\}$ , period  $2^{m+1}$ 
18   | endif

```

---

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose  $k > 1$  is not a Mersenne number. If there is a term in the sequence*

$$(3.1) \quad \{(k^{2^m} + 1) / \text{gcd}(k + 1, 2)\} \quad (m > 0)$$

*divisible by at least two distinct primes, then Algorithm 1 will find a base  $b$  for which  $k$  is a Sierpiński number.*

Before we present the proof, we will illustrate the use of this algorithm with  $k = 2$ . After the first time through the loop (steps 6 through 11),  $m = 0$ ,  $p_0 = 3$ ,  $n = 2$ , and  $b_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . So after we solve for  $b \equiv b_0$ , 3 will divide the second term of the sequence  $k \cdot b^v + 1$  for  $v = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and every other term because  $b$  has period 2 modulo 3. This prime begins our cover and at this stage the pattern with which the primes in the cover divides the terms  $k \cdot b^v + 1$  for  $v = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and looks like the following:

$$\underbrace{\quad, 3, \quad, 3, \dots}$$

That is, 3 divides  $2 \cdot b^v + 1$  for  $v = 2, 4, 6, \dots$

After the second time through the loop,  $m = 1$ ,  $p_1 = 5$ ,  $n = 3$ , and  $b_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ . So 5 divides the third term and then every fourth because  $2^{1+1} = 4$  is the period of  $b_1 \pmod{p_1}$  by Theorem 3.3. So our divisibility pattern now looks like:

$$\underbrace{\dots, 3, 5, 3}, \underbrace{\dots, 3, 5, 3}, \dots$$

After the third time through  $p_2 = 17$  and  $b_2$  has order 8, so we now have a pattern with period  $2^3$

$$\underbrace{\dots, 3, 5, 3, 17, 3, 5, 3}, \underbrace{\dots, 3, 5, 3, 17, 3, 5, 3}, \dots$$

The primes for iterations four and five are 257 and 65537 see (Table 1), leaving a pattern with period  $2^5$ .

Notice that each time through the loop the period doubles; however, since we have added just one prime to each stop we are still left with one hole. If we were to ever get a case in which there were two odd primes dividing  $k^{2^m} + 1$  then we can fill in that hole! In our example, it is the sixth iteration in which this first occurs (when  $2^{2^5} + 1 = 641 \cdot 6700417$ ), so we double the period again but we can also now fill in the last hole. Finally, we solve for  $b$  using the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Thus, when  $k = 2$ , we find  $b = 16979062410086072498$  and the cover  $\{3, 5, 17, 257, 641, 65537, 6700417\}$  that repeats with period 64.

Similarly, with  $k = 5$ , we find  $b = 140324348$  and the cover  $\{3, 13, 17, 313, 11489\}$  with a period of 16. Finally, for  $k = 65$ ,  $b = 19030688904264$  with cover  $\{3, 17, 113, 2113, 8925313\}$  with a period of 16.

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* The algorithm starts with an input  $k > 1$ . If  $k$  is a Mersenne number, the algorithm throws it out because of Theorem 2.2. Next, we start the loop with  $m = 0$ , and find the least odd prime  $p_0$  that divides  $k + 1$  (we know this exists because  $k$  is not a Mersenne). When  $m = 0$ , it is also possible to solve  $k \cdot b_0^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , because  $k \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . So if we let  $b_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $k \cdot b_0^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Note that if  $b \equiv b_0 \pmod{p_0}$  then  $p_0$  divides every other term in the sequence  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  because  $b_0$  has order 2 mod  $p_0$ .

During the next loop  $m = 1$  and we know that  $k^{2^1} + 1$  has an odd prime factor (for all  $m > 0$ ) from Theorem 3.2. Thus, we solve  $k \cdot b_1^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1}$  for base  $b_1$ , which is possible (for all  $m > 0$ ) by Theorem 3.3. By Theorem 3.2, we know our solution has order  $2^{m+1} = 4$  so we have a pattern

$$\underbrace{\dots, p_0, p_1, p_0}, \underbrace{\dots, p_0, p_1, p_0}, \dots$$

We repeat this process (steps 6 – 11) until there are two odd primes which divide  $k^{2^m} + 1$ . For the first prime  $p_m$ , the algorithm solves (as usual)  $k \cdot b_m^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_m}$ . With the second prime,  $p_{m+1}$ , the algorithm solves  $k \cdot b_{m+1} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_{m+1}}$  for base  $b_{m+1}$  to fill in the last hole in our pattern. So we have a cover  $\{p_1, \dots, p_{m+1}\}$  which divide the terms  $k \cdot b^n + 1$

with a pattern determined by Theorem 3.3 to be

$$\underbrace{p_{m+1}, p_0, p_1, p_0, p_2, p_0, \dots, p_m, \dots, p_0, p_1, p_0}.$$

We then use the Chinese Remainder Theorem (step 13) to solve for  $b$ . However, we must make sure the cover is not trivial so if  $\gcd(k+1, b-1) \neq 1$ , we can add  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m+1}$  to  $b$  to get  $b'$ . Now  $\gcd(k+1, b'-1) = 1$  by Theorem 3.1. We are then left with a base  $b$  that makes  $k$  a Sierpiński Number with a cover  $S$  and a period equal to  $2^{m+1}$ .  $\square$

TABLE 1. The Algorithm Table

$m$	$k^{2^m} + 1$	$p_m$	$n$	$b_m \pmod{p}$
0	3	3	2	2
1	5	5	3	3
2	17	17	5	9
3	257	257	9	129
4	65537	65537	17	32769
5	641 · 6700417	641	33	321
		6700417	1	3350208

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It is highly unlikely that there exists an integer  $k$  for which the sequence of generalized Fermat numbers of equation 3.1 are all prime. So given a  $k$ , we have shown how to find bases  $b$  for which  $k \cdot b^n + 1$  has non-trivial cover, for all  $k$  for which these exist! However, this does not mean our choice of  $b$  is the least. Computations have shown that our solution for  $k = 5$  is the smallest with period dividing 5040, but we do not know if the others are the smallest.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Brunner, D. Krywaruczenko, C. Lowndale & C. Caldwell, The Sierpiński numbers base  $b$ , in process.
2. Y. Chen, On integers of the form  $2^n \pm p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1613–1616.
3. Y. Chen, On integers of the form  $k2^n + 1$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), 355–361.
4. Y. Chen, On integers of the forms  $k - 2^n$  and  $k2^n + 1$ , *J. Number Theory* **89** (2001), 121–125.
5. Y. Chen, On integers of the forms  $k^r - 2^n$  and  $k^r 2^n + 1$ , *J. Number Theory* **98:2** (2003), 310–319.
6. P. Erdős On integers of the form  $2^k + p$  and some related problems, *Summa Brasil. Math.* #2 (1950), 113–123.
7. M. Filaseta, C. Finch and M. Kozek, *On powers associated with Sierpiński numbers, Riesel numbers and Polignac’s conjecture*, (submitted).

8. A. Granville and P. Pleasants, Aurifeullian Factorization Mathematics of Computation, **75**:253, 497–508.
9. L. Helm & D. Norris, Seventeen or Bust—a distributed attack on the Sierpiński problem, <http://www.seventeenorbust.com/>.
10. A. Izotov, A note on Sierpiński numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **33**:3 (1995), 206–207.
11. L. Jones, Variation on a theme of Sierpiński, *J. Integer Seq.*, **10**, (2007), article 07.4.4.
12. W. Sierpiński, Sur un problème concernant les nombres  $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ , *Elem. Math.*, **15**(1960) 73–74; corrigendum, **17**(1962) 85.
13. R.W. Smith, Personal correspondence.