

Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Rose-Hulman Scholar

Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports
(MSTR)

Mathematics

11-1990

Dihedral Rewriteability

Cheryl P. Grood
University of Michigan

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr



Part of the [Algebra Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Grood, Cheryl P., "Dihedral Rewriteability" (1990). *Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR)*. 146.
https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr/146

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Rose-Hulman Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR) by an authorized administrator of Rose-Hulman Scholar. For more information, please contact weir1@rose-hulman.edu.

DIHEDRAL REWRITEABILITY

Cheryl P. Groom

MS TR 90-08

November 1990

**Department of Mathematics
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology
Terre Haute, IN 47803**

FAX(812) 877-3198

Phone: (812) 877-8391

Dihedral Rewriteability

Cheryl P. Grood*

Department of Mathematics

University of Michigan

1. Introduction

Let S be a set of nontrivial permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; i.e., $S \subseteq S_n - \{\text{id}\}$, where S_n is the symmetric group on n symbols. A group G is said to be S-rewriteable if, for each n -tuple

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n,$$

there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S$ such that

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}.$$

If $S = S_n - \{\text{id}\}$, then S -rewriteability is referred to as n-rewriteability [1]. Note that a group is 2-rewriteable if, and only if, it is abelian: $x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1 = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)}$, where σ is the transposition $(1,2)$. Thus, rewriteability can be viewed as a natural generalization of commutativity; the motivation for this generalization in the context of groups and semigroups stems from automata theory [2]. Research has been done classifying groups which are 3-rewriteable and 4-rewriteable [3, 5]. In particular, Curzio, Longobardio, and Maj [3] have shown:

G is 3-rewriteable if, and only if, $|G'| \leq 2$, where G' is the commutator subgroup of G .

*The author's work was supported by NSF grant DMS 8922674.

In this paper, we primarily consider S -rewriteability, with $S = D_n^* = D_n - \{id\}$, where D_n is the dihedral group on n symbols. For example, a group G is D_4^* -rewriteable if, for all $(x,y,z,w) \in G^4$,

$$xyzw \in \{wxyz, zwxy, yzwx, wzyx, xwzy, yxwz, zyxw\},$$

since $D_4^* = \{(1,4,3,2), (1,3)(2,4), (1,2,3,4), (1,4)(2,3), (2,4), (1,2)(3,4), (1,3)\}$.

Notice that D_3^* -rewriteability is equivalent to 3-rewriteability because $D_3 = S_3$ and, therefore, that D_3^* -rewriteable groups have been classified. We are concerned with classifying D_n^* -rewriteable groups for all n . Our main results are that, for $n \geq 3$, D_n^* -rewriteability is in fact equivalent to D_3^* -rewriteability and, further, that D_n^* -rewriteability is characterized by a proper subset of D_n^* .

2. The Equivalence of D_3^* -rewriteability and D_n^* -rewriteability

THEOREM 1. *For $n \geq 3$, a group G is D_n^* -rewriteable if, and only if, G is D_3^* -rewriteable.*

Proof: We show first that D_{n+1}^* -rewriteability implies D_n^* -rewriteability for $n \geq 3$. Choose $(x_1, e, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ as an $(n+1)$ -tuple in G^{n+1} , where e is the identity. The result follows because $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_1 e x_2 \cdots x_n$ is at least one of,

$$x_n x_1 e x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} = x_n x_1 \cdots x_{n-1},$$

$$x_{n-1} x_n x_1 e x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} = x_{n-1} x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2},$$

.

.

.

$$x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n x_1 e = x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n x_1,$$

$$e x_2 \cdots x_n x_1 = x_2 \cdots x_n x_1,$$

$$x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 e x_1 = x_n \cdots x_1,$$

$$x_1 x_n \cdots x_2 e = x_1 x_n \cdots x_2,$$

$$ex_1x_n \cdots x_2 = x_1x_n \cdots x_2,$$

$$x_2ex_1x_n \cdots x_3 = x_2x_1x_n \cdots x_3,$$

.

.

.

$$x_{n-2} \cdots ex_1x_nx_{n-1} = x_{n-2} \cdots x_1x_nx_{n-1},$$

$$x_{n-1}x_{n-2} \cdots ex_1x_n = x_{n-1}x_{n-2} \cdots x_1x_n.$$

To clarify this notation, consider the instance when $n = 3$. Then, $x_1x_2x_3 = x_1ex_2x_3$ is at least one of

$$x_3x_1ex_2 = x_3x_1x_2 \quad (\sigma = (1,3,2)),$$

$$x_2x_3x_1e = x_2x_3x_1 \quad (\sigma = (1,2,3)),$$

$$ex_2x_3x_1 = x_2x_3x_1 \quad (\sigma = (1,2,3)),$$

$$x_3x_2ex_1 = x_3x_2x_1 \quad (\sigma = (1,3)),$$

$$x_1x_3x_2e = x_1x_3x_2 \quad (\sigma = (2,3)),$$

$$ex_1x_3x_2 = x_1x_3x_2 \quad (\sigma = (2,3)),$$

$$x_2ex_1x_3 = x_2x_1x_3 \quad (\sigma = (1,2)).$$

It follows that D_n^* -rewriteability implies D_3^* -rewriteability.

For the converse, suppose G is D_3^* -rewriteable but not abelian (because if G is abelian, then G is clearly D_n^* -rewriteable). If G is not D_n^* -rewriteable, then there exists an n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n$ such that the product $p = x_1 \cdots x_n$ cannot be rewritten as the product of any of the non-trivial $2n-1$ dihedral permutations of (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Thus, $x_nx_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} \neq p$ and $x_nx_{n-1} \cdots x_2x_1 \neq p$. Note that by transposing adjacent terms in the ordered n -tuple (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) enough times, we can obtain the n -tuples $(x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1)$. How does transposing adjacent terms affect the product? We know that for all $a, b \in G$, $ab = baa^{-1}b^{-1}ab$, where $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \in G'$. By the result of Curzio, Longobardio, and Maj, we may take $G' = \{e, z\}$ because $|G'| = 2$. Moreover, z is in the

center, Z , of G because G' is a normal subgroup of G . Therefore, for all $a, b \in G$, either $ab = ba$ or $ab = baz$. Since $z \in Z$, we have $x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} = pz^k$ and $x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1 = pz^j$ for some k and j . But, $z^2 = e$, so $x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} = pz = x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1$, which implies $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n = x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1 x_n$. In other words, the product $p = x_1 \cdots x_n$ is D_n^* -rewriteable, which gives us a contradiction. Therefore, G is D_n^* -rewriteable.

3. S-rewriteability

Let R denote the group of rotations generated by the n -cycle $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and let $R^* = R - \{id\}$. We will refer to R^* -rewriteability as n -rotateability.

THEOREM 2. *G is n -rotateable if, and only if, G is abelian; i.e., 2-rewriteable.*

Proof: That abelian implies n -rotateable is trivial. So, let G be n -rotateable and consider the n -tuple $(a, e, e, \dots, e, b) \in G^n$. The product $ab = a \cdot e \cdot e \cdots e \cdot b$ is at least one of

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot a \cdot e \cdot e \cdots e &= ba, \\ e \cdot b \cdot a \cdot e \cdot e \cdots e &= ba, \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ e \cdot e \cdots e \cdot b \cdot a &= ba. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, a similar argument shows that G is abelian if, and only if, G is U -rewriteable, where U consists of a single non-trivial permutation. It is also possible to characterize D_n^* -rewriteability by a smaller set of permutations.

THEOREM 3. G is D_n^* -rewriteable for $n \geq 3$ if, and only if, G is T -rewriteable where T -rewriteability is determined by the permutations which require that

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \in \{x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} x_{n-1}, x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1, x_{n-1} x_{n-2} \cdots x_2 x_1 x_n\}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n$; i.e.,

$$T = \{(1, n, n-1, \dots, 3, 2), (1, n)(2, n-1)(3, n-2) \cdots, (1, n-1)(2, n-2)(3, n-3) \cdots\}.$$

Proof: T-rewriteability implies D_n^* -rewriteability because the elements of T are symmetries (a rotation and two reflections) of the regular n-gon.

Conversely, assume G is D_n^* -rewriteable. By THEOREM 1, G is D_3^* -rewriteable and, therefore, $G' = \{e, z\}$, where $z \in Z$. It follows, just as in the proof of THEOREM 1, that $x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} x_{n-1}$ and $x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1$ are elements of $\{p, pz\}$. If $x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} x_{n-1} = p$ or $x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1 = p$ then, we are done. Otherwise, $x_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} x_{n-1} = pz = x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1$ which implies that $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} x_{n-1} x_n = x_{n-1} \cdots x_2 x_1 x_n$ and we are done.

4. Conjectures and Questions

There are eight classes of groups which are 4-rewriteable [5]. Roughly speaking, for a group to be 4-rewriteable it must have an abelian subgroup of index two or a commutator subgroup of order at most eight. In contrast, we have shown that a group which is D_4^* -rewriteable has a much sharper classification: it is D_3^* -rewriteable, which means that the order of the commutator subgroup must be at most two. Since D_4 is the group of symmetries of a square, and S_4 is the group of symmetries of a cube, the group of symmetries of a tetrahedron, A_4 , is a natural "compromise" between the two. Using CAYLEY [4], a computer program which enables the user to generate groups and test them for certain properties, we have collected empirical evidence which supports a

CONJECTURE: *G is A_4^* -rewriteable if, and only if, $|G'| = 3$.*

Since one of the eight classes of 4-rewriteable groups consists of precisely those groups G for which $|G'| = 3$, the previous conjecture leads to another

CONJECTURE: *Each of the eight classes of 4-rewriteable groups is characterized by S-rewriteability for some proper subset, S, of $S_4 - \{id\}$.*

The idea of the proof of THEOREM 3 works just as well to show that G is D_n^* -rewriteable if, and only if, G is T-rewriteable, where T is the subset of D_n^* which requires $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \in \{x_n x_{n-1} x_{n-2} \cdots x_1, x_1 x_n x_{n-2} \cdots x_2, x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n x_1\}$. Thus, for $n = 4$, the

following statements are equivalent.

- i) $xyzw \in \{wxyz, zwxy, yzwx, wzyx, xwzy, yxwz, zyxw\}$ for all $x,y,z,w \in G$.
- ii) $xyzw \in \{wxyz, wzyx, zyxw\}$ for all $x,y,z,w \in G$.
- iii) $xyzw \in \{wzyx, xwyz, yzwx\}$ for all $x,y,z,w \in G$.

QUESTION: *How many subsets of order three of D_n^* characterize D_n^* -rewriteability?*

References

1. R. D. Blyth, Rewriting products of group elements - I, *J. Alg.*, 116 (1988) 506-521.
2. R. D. Blyth and D. J. S. Robinson, Recent progress on rewriteability in groups, *J.London Math. Society*, to appear.
3. M. Curzio, P. Longobardio, and M. Maj, Su di un problema combinatorio in teoria dei gruppi, *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, 74 (1983) 136-142.
4. D. F. Holt, The CAYLEY Group Theory System, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35 (1988) 1135-1140.
5. P. Longobardio, M. Maj, and S. Stonehewer, The classification of groups in which every product of four elements can be reordered, preprint.

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to acknowledge useful conversations with Jon Atkins and Jordan Ellenberg which took place in a supportive, stimulating work environment during the NSF-REU program at Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology directed by Gary Sherman.