

8-1990

Rewriteable Sequencings of Groups

Jeanne Nielsen
Duke University

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr



Part of the [Applied Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Nielsen, Jeanne, "Rewriteable Sequencings of Groups" (1990). *Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR)*. 145.
http://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr/145

MSTR 90-06

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Rose-Hulman Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR) by an authorized administrator of Rose-Hulman Scholar. For more information, please contact weir1@rose-hulman.edu.

**REWRITEABLE SEQUENCINGS
OF GROUPS**

Jeanne Nielsen

MS TR 90-06

September 1990

**Department of Mathematics
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology
Terre Haute, IN 47803**

FAX(812) 877-3198

Phone: (812) 877-8391

Rewriteable Sequencings of Groups

Jeanne Nielsen^{*}

Department of Mathematics

Duke University

Abstract. A finite group is called P_n -sequenceable if its nonidentity elements can be listed x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k so that the product $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+n-1}$ can be rewritten in at least one nontrivial way for all i . It is shown that S_n, A_n, D_n are P_3 -sequenceable, that every finite simple group is P_4 -sequenceable, and that every finite group is P_5 -sequenceable. It is conjectured that every finite group is P_3 -sequenceable.

1. Introduction

Miller and Friedlander [6] have defined a finite group G to be Z -sequenceable if there exists a sequencing $\{x_i\}$ of the nonidentity elements of the group in which each element appears once and only once, and $x_i x_{i+1} = x_{i+1} x_i$ for all i . A group is called *strongly Z -sequenceable* if it has a Z -sequencing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) such that $x_m x_1 = x_1 x_m$. If G is an abelian group, then every sequencing of the nonidentity elements of G is a strong Z -sequencing. However, a group need not be abelian in order to be Z -sequenceable; Miller and Friedlander demonstrate that if $|G/Z(G)| - 1 \leq |Z(G)|$, then G is Z -sequenceable, and that if $|G/Z(G)| \leq |Z(G)|$, then G is strongly Z -sequenceable. It is shown, moreover, that there exist groups which are not Z -sequenceable, and that in particular, the dihedral groups D_n ($n \geq 3$), the symmetric groups S_n ($n \geq 3$), and the alternating groups A_n ($n \geq 4$) are not Z -sequenceable.

In this paper, we generalize these results by considering rewriteability in the place of commutativity. A group G is said to be n -rewriteable [1] if, for each n -element subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of G , there are distinct permutations $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ such that

$$x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = x_{\tau(1)} x_{\tau(2)} \cdots x_{\tau(n)}.$$

If one of σ, τ can always be chosen to be the identity, then G is said to be *totally n -rewriteable*. Total n -rewriteability is denoted by P_n , and this is the property which will be termed “rewriteability” in this paper. Considerable research ([1],[2],[3],[5]) has been done recently on rewriteability and total rewriteability; one important result is that a group G is totally 3-rewriteable if and only if $|G'| \leq 2$.

This concept is a generalization of commutativity: a group has property P_2 if and only if the group is abelian. In light of this, a natural generalization of Z -sequenceability arises as follows: define a finite group to be P_n -sequenceable if there exists a sequencing $\{x_i\}$ of the nonidentity elements of the group in which each element appears once and only once, and for all i , the product $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+n-1}$ can be rewritten in at least one nontrivial way. (Z -sequenceability is equivalent

^{*}AMS (1980) subject classification: Primary 20D60, Secondary 05A05

[†]The author's work was supported by NSF grant DMS 8900507.

to P_2 -sequenceability.) A group will be called *strongly P_n -sequenceable* if the group has a P_n -sequencing $\{x_i\}$ such that the products $x_{m-n+2} \cdots x_m x_1$, $x_{m-n+3} \cdots x_1 x_2$, \dots , $x_m x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$ are all rewriteable in at least one nontrivial way. Intuitively, this means that the sequencing can be thought of as a circular listing of the elements, so that x_m is followed by x_1, x_2 , etc. In this paper, we consider the following questions concerning P_n -sequenceability:

- Is there an integer n such that every finite group is P_n -sequenceable?
- If so, what is the smallest such n ? (Any group which is P_n -sequenceable is also P_{n+1} -sequenceable, P_{n+2} -sequenceable, etc.)

Our main result is that every finite group is P_3 -sequenceable, and we conjecture that every finite group is in fact P_3 -sequenceable.

2. P_3 -Sequencing Results

Lemma 1: If the nonidentity elements of a finite group can be divided into disjoint sets, each containing two or more elements, so that all the elements in each set commute with one another, then the group is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

Proof: Suppose that the elements of a finite group have been partitioned into sets as above. Then simply list the elements of one set, followed by the elements of the second set, etc. For any three successive elements x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2} , either x_i, x_{i+1} are in the same set and hence commute, or x_{i+1}, x_{i+2} are in the same set and hence commute. The product $x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2}$ can therefore be rewritten as $x_{i+1} x_i x_{i+2}$ or as $x_i x_{i+2} x_{i+1}$. The same is true for the triples x_{m-1}, x_m, x_1 and x_m, x_1, x_2 , so this sequence is a strong P_3 -sequencing.

Proposition 1: If $|Z(G)| > 1$, then G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

Proof: Let the sets of Lemma 1 be the cosets of $Z(G)$. The elements of any coset of $Z(G)$ commute with one another. Since $|Z(G)| > 1$, these sets have order at least 2, possibly excepting $Z(G)$ itself, since the identity is excluded. But in this case, since the central element commutes with every element of the group, it may be placed in any other set; commutativity will still be preserved in the set. The hypotheses of Lemma 1 are thus satisfied, proving the proposition.

Proposition 2: If $|G|$ is odd, then G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

Proof: Since $|G|$ is odd, G contains no involutions, i.e. each element g of G has an inverse g^{-1} distinct from g . Divide the nonidentity elements of G into sets of order 2, each consisting of an element and its inverse. These sets satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 1; hence, G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

This proposition demonstrates that the question of P_3 -sequenceability of a group is largely concerned with sequencing the involutions. If the involutions in a group can be partitioned into commuting sets as in Lemma 1, the group will be P_3 -sequenceable regardless of the number of involutions. We now use this strategy to sequence the symmetric and alternating groups:

Theorem 1: The symmetric group S_n is strongly P_3 -sequenceable for all n .

Proof: Partition the nonidentity, non-involutions into sets consisting of inverse pairs, and consider the involutions. These are all products of disjoint 2-cycles. First consider those involutions which consist of a single 2-cycle. Choose any one of these and denote it by x . Then choose an involution y which is a product of two or more 2-cycles, one of which is the 2-cycle x . (This is

possible when $n \geq 4$; if $n = 3$, then $S_n = D_n$, so S_3 can be sequenced as in the dihedral case below.) Then from the set of involutions consisting of a single 2-cycle, take all those 2-cycles which are factors of y . All of these 2-cycles, together with x and y , form a commuting set as in Lemma 1.

Now, if any involutions consisting of a single 2-cycle remain, repeat this process, at each step choosing one such involution x , and then choosing a y as above. Form a commuting set from x, y , and any single 2-cycle factors of y which have not yet been assigned to a set. Since all single 2-cycle factors of the involutions y are accounted for at each step, there will always be sufficient such y to exhaust the single 2-cycles.

To illustrate this process, consider S_4 . There are nine involutions: $(1,2), (1,3), (1,4), (2,3), (2,4), (3,4), (1,2)(3,4), (1,3)(2,4), (1,4)(2,3)$. Begin by choosing, for example, $(1,2)$. The involution $(1,2)(3,4)$ contains this 2-cycle, so let the elements $(1,2), (1,2)(3,4)$, and $(3,4)$ (the other factor of $(1,2)(3,4)$) form a commuting set. From the remaining 2-cycles, choose, for example, $(1,3)$. This is a factor of $(1,3)(2,4)$, so let $(1,3), (1,3)(2,4)$, and $(2,4)$ form a commuting set. Finally, let the involutions $(1,4), (1,4)(2,3)$, and $(2,3)$ form a commuting set.

In this example, when we had exhausted all the single 2-cycles, we found that we had also exhausted the other involutions, but this will not be the case in general. Now consider any involutions which are products of two or more 2-cycles and have not yet been assigned to a set. Any such involution $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) \dots (a_m, b_m)$ is the m th power of the $2m$ -cycle $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ and so commutes with this element and its inverse. Moreover, for any such $2m$ -cycle or its inverse, the corresponding involution is unique. Take each such remaining involution and add it to the set containing the corresponding $2m$ -cycle and its inverse.

The nonidentity elements of S_n have now been partitioned as in Lemma 1, so simply listing these sets successively gives a strong P_3 -sequencing for S_n .

Theorem 2: The alternating group A_n is strongly P_3 -sequenceable for all n .

Proof: The procedure is similar to that for the symmetric groups. Divide the nonidentity, non-involutions into sets each consisting of an element and its inverse, and then consider the involutions.

In the alternating groups, involutions are products of an even number of 2-cycles. First consider those involutions which are products of four or more 2-cycles. For each such product of m 2-cycles (m even), construct the single $2m$ -cycle y as in the symmetric case. The element y is not in the alternating group, but y^2 is. Also, $|\langle y \rangle| \geq 8$, and $|\langle y^2 \rangle| \geq 4$, so y^2 is not itself an involution. Take each such involution x and add it to the set containing y^2 and its inverse.

Finally, consider those involutions which are products of two disjoint 2-cycles. These may be partitioned into sets of order 3, for given any such involution $(a, b)(c, d)$, the two involutions $(a, c)(b, d)$ and $(a, d)(b, c)$ commute with it and with each other. The nonidentity elements of A_n have now been partitioned as in Lemma 1, so listing the sets successively gives a strong P_3 -sequencing for A_n .

We now move on to the question of P_3 -sequencing arbitrary groups.

Theorem 3: If G contains a self-centralizing involution, then G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

Proof: Denote the self-centralizing involution by x . In this case, G has an abelian subgroup H of odd order of index 2 in G , and a single conjugacy class of self-centralizing involutions, the coset Hx . We construct a strong P_3 -sequencing for these groups as follows: let $r = |\langle H \rangle|$. First list the $r - 1$ nonidentity elements of H (denote the identity by h_0), followed by the r elements of Hx :

$$h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{r-1}, h_0x, h_1x, \dots, h_{r-1}x$$

That this is a strong P_3 -sequencing is seen as follows:

- For $1 \leq i \leq r - 3$, the product $h_i h_{i+1} h_{i+2}$ is rewriteable in any nontrivial way, because these elements commute.
- The product $(h_{r-2})(h_{r-1})(h_0 x)$ is rewriteable as $(h_{r-1})(h_{r-2})(h_0 x)$, because h_{r-2} and h_{r-1} commute.
- The product $(h_{r-1})(h_0 x)(h_1 x)$ is rewriteable as $(h_0 x)(h_1 x)(h_{r-1})$, because $(h_0 x)(h_1 x) \in H$ and hence commutes with h_{r-1} .
- For $0 \leq i \leq r - 3$, the product $(h_i x)(h_{i+1} x)(h_{i+2} x)$ is an involution and hence equal to its inverse. Since all three of its factors are also involutions, it can be rewritten as $(h_{i+2} x)(h_{i+1} x)(h_i x)$.
- The product $(h_{r-2} x)(h_{r-1} x)(h_1)$ is rewriteable as $(h_1)(h_{r-2} x)(h_{r-1} x)$, because the product $(h_{r-2} x)(h_{r-1} x) \in H$ and hence commutes with h_1 .
- The product $(h_{r-1} x)(h_1)(h_2)$ is rewriteable as $(h_{r-1} x)(h_2)(h_1)$, because h_1, h_2 commute.

Corollary: The dihedral group D_n is strongly P_3 -sequenceable for all n .

Proof: If n is even, then $|Z(D_n)| = 2$, and Proposition 1 applies; if n is odd, then every involution in D_n is self-centralizing, and Theorem 3 applies.

Theorem 4: If for every involution $x \in G$, there exists an element y_x with $|\langle y_x \rangle| > 2$, such that $(y_x)^k = x$ for some $k > 1$, then G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

In particular, if there is no involution $x \in G$ such that $C(x)$ is a 2-group, then G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable, for if $a \in C(x)$ has order p , with p odd, then $y_x = ax \in C(x)$ satisfies $(y_x)^p = x$.

Proof: For each involution $x \in G$, choose a corresponding y_x . Let $\{x, y_x, y_x^{-1}\}$ form a commuting set. The y_x 's are clearly distinct, for there is at most one involution in $\langle y_x \rangle$. Divide the remaining elements into inverse pairs; then by Lemma 1, G is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

In light of these results, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture: Every finite group is strongly P_3 -sequenceable.

In order to prove the conjecture, it remains to be shown that groups containing involutions whose centralizers are 2-groups can be sequenced. It would only be necessary to show that these centralizers can be sequenced, and then the remaining elements can be sequenced as in Theorem 4. Note that each of these centralizers, having prime power order, has a nontrivial center and hence can be individually sequenced. Thus, if it could be shown that the union of these centralizers can be sequenced, the conjecture would be established.

3. Other Sequencing Results

While we have not yet been able to show that all finite groups are P_3 -sequenceable, we can demonstrate some slightly weaker properties. One important case is that of the simple groups. We have already shown that one large class of simple groups, namely the alternating groups, are P_3 -sequenceable. We now show that all finite groups are in fact P_4 -sequenceable. First we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2: The number of involutions in a finite simple group G is less than $|G|/3$.

Proof: Let n be the number of involutions in G , and let k be the number of conjugacy classes in G . It is shown in [7] (pp. 110-112) that

$$n^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i |G : C(x_i)|,$$

where x_i is an element of the i th conjugacy class, and c_i is the number of ordered pairs of involutions (u, v) such that $uv = x_i$. It is also shown that

$$c_i \leq |C(x_i)|$$

for all i . Then we have that

$$n^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |C(x_i)| |G : C(x_i)|,$$

Hence

$$n^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |G| = k|G|.$$

Since G is simple, the number of conjugacy classes $k \leq |G|/12$ [4]; hence, we have

$$n^2 \leq |G|^2/12,$$

and

$$n \leq |G|/\sqrt{12} < |G|/3.$$

Theorem 5: Every finite simple group is strongly P_4 -sequenceable.

Proof: We show that any group G with less than $|G|/3$ involutions is strongly P_4 -sequenceable. Let $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ be the set of all involutions of G . The other elements can be divided into at least j inverse pairs $\{g_1, g_1^{-1}\}, \dots, \{g_n, g_n^{-1}\}$. List the elements as follows:

$$g_1, g_1^{-1}, t_1, g_2, g_2^{-1}, t_2, \dots, t_n,$$

followed by any remaining inverse pairs. Any four successive elements in the sequence include an adjacent inverse pair of elements which commute, so any product of four consecutive elements is rewriteable by interchanging this pair. This is a strong P_4 -sequencing of G .

Even in an arbitrary group, we can find an upper bound on the number of noncommuting involutions:

Lemma 3: If a group G does not contain a self-centralizing involution, then the number of involutions in G which cannot be divided into commuting sets is less than $|G|/2$.

Proof: Partition the nonidentity, non-involutions into inverse pairs as in the proof of Proposition 2. Suppose there are exactly $|G|/2$ involutions in G , and let x be any involution. By hypotheses, there is another element in G which commutes with x . If there is another involution y which commutes with x , then let $\{x, y\}$ form a commuting set. Otherwise, there is a non-involution z which commutes with x ; put x in the set containing z and z^{-1} .

Now suppose there are more than $|G|/2$ involutions in G . Two involutions commute if and only if their product is an involution. Let S be the set of involutions in G , and let t be any involution

in G . Since $|S| > |G|/2$ and $|tS| > |G|/2$, the two sets S and tS must have nontrivial intersection; i.e. there exists an involution $u \neq t$ such that tu is an involution, and hence $tu = ut$. If $|G|/2$ is odd, then let $\{u, t, ut\}$ form a commuting set. If $|G|/2$ is even, then let $\{u, t\}$ form a commuting set. Repeat this process, letting S be the set of involutions which have not yet been assigned to a set. However, after the first step, put only two involutions in each set, regardless of the parity of $|G|/2$. (The initial step was constructed in this way to ensure strict inequality.) It is easily seen that this process may be continued until the number of involutions remaining is less than $|G|/2$.

Using this fact, we can now answer in the affirmative our question as to the existence of an integer n such that every finite group is P_n -sequenceable:

Theorem 6: Every finite group is P_5 -sequenceable.

Proof: If a group G contains a self-centralizing involution, then G is P_3 -sequenceable and so is P_5 -sequenceable. Suppose that G does not contain a self-centralizing involution. By Lemma 3, there are less than $|G|/2$ nonidentity elements of the group which cannot be placed in commuting sets (and at most one of these sets is not of order 2, as in the above lemma). Denote these elements by x_1, \dots, x_m . Denote the sets of commuting elements by $(r_1, s_1), \dots, (r_n, s_n)$ (possibly the last set is (r_n, s_n, t_n)). Since $m < |G|/2$, we have that $n \geq m$. Then the sequence

$$x_1, x_2, r_1, s_1, x_3, x_4, r_2, s_2, \dots, x_m,$$

followed by the remaining commuting sets (we have shown that at least one such set remains) is a strong P_5 -sequencing of G : any five consecutive elements contain an adjacent commuting pair, and their product may be rewritten by exchanging these two elements.

References

- [1] R.D. Blyth, Rewriting products of group elements - I, *J. Alg.*, 116 (1988) 506-521.
- [2] R.D. Blyth, Rewriting products of group elements - II, *J. Alg.*, 119 (1988) 246-259.
- [3] M. Curzio, P. Longobardio, and M. Maj, Su di un problema combinatorio in teoria dei gruppi, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* 74 (1983) 136-142.
- [4] J.D. Dixon, Problem 176, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 16 (1973), 302.
- [5] P. Longobardio, M. Maj, and S. Stonehewer, The classification of groups in which every product of four elements can be reordered, preprint.
- [6] M.D. Miller and R.J. Friedlander, On Some Sequencing Problems in Finite Groups, *Discrete Math.* 19 (1977) 77-84.
- [7] J.S. Rose, *A Course on Group Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978).

Jeanne Nielsen
 Department of Mathematics
 Duke University
 Durham, NC 27706
 U.S.A.