

Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

## Rose-Hulman Scholar

---

Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports  
(MSTR)

Mathematics

---

9-1990

### A Numerical Approach to Rewriteability in Finite Groups

J.L. Leavitt

*University of Michigan*

G.J. Sherman

*Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology*

M.E. Walker

*New Mexico State University - Main Campus*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math\\_mstr](https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr)



Part of the [Algebra Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Leavitt, J.L.; Sherman, G.J.; and Walker, M.E., "A Numerical Approach to Rewriteability in Finite Groups" (1990). *Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR)*. 141.

[https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math\\_mstr/141](https://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/math_mstr/141)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Rose-Hulman Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR) by an authorized administrator of Rose-Hulman Scholar. For more information, please contact [weir1@rose-hulman.edu](mailto:weir1@rose-hulman.edu).

**A NUMERICAL APPROACH TO  
REWRITEABILITY IN FINITE GROUPS**

**J.L. Leavitt, G.J. Sherman and M.E. Walker**

**MS TR 90-02**

**September 1990**

**Department of Mathematics  
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology  
Terre Haute, IN 47803**

**FAX(812) 877-3198**

**Phone: (812) 877-8391**

A NUMERICAL APPROACH TO REWRITEABILITY  
IN FINITE GROUPS

J.L. LEAVITT\*

*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109*

G.J. SHERMAN\*

*Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, Terre Haute, IN 47803*

M.E. WALKER\*

*New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003*

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. The ratio

$$Pr_2(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G^2 | xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2} \quad (1)$$

can be interpreted as the probability that two randomly chosen elements of  $G$  commute. Denoting the centralizer of  $y$  in  $G$  by  $C(y)$  and the number of conjugacy classes in  $G$  by  $k$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{(x, y) \in G^2 | xy = yx\}| &= \sum_{y \in G} |C(y)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k [G : C(y_i)] \cdot |C(y_i)| \\ &= k \cdot |G| \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$  is a complete set of conjugacy class representatives of  $G$ . Thus  $Pr_2(G) = k/|G|$ . Clearly,  $G$  is abelian if, and only if,  $Pr_2(G) = 1$ . If  $G$  is not abelian and  $p_s$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ , then it follows from the class equation that

$$Pr_2(G) = \frac{k}{|G|} \leq \frac{p_s^2 + p_s - 1}{p_s^3} \leq \frac{5}{8}. \quad (3)$$

---

\*Work supported by NSF grant DMS-8900507

Both upper and lower bounds on  $Pr_2(G)$  for various classes of groups have been obtained ([1], [4], [5], [6], [7], [9]). And, since commutativity can be defined in terms of conjugation, analagous results have been pursued for various group actions ([8], [9], [11]).

Commutativity is a special case of rewriteability. Let  $S \subseteq S_n - \{\text{id}\}$ ; i.e.,  $S$  is a set of nontrivial permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . An  $n$ -tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of elements of  $G$  is  $S$ -rewriteable if  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  for some  $\sigma \in S$ . We generalize (1) by setting

$$Pr_n(G; S) = \frac{|Rw_n(G; S)|}{|G|^n} \quad (4)$$

where

$$Rw_n(G; S) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in G^n \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ is } S\text{-rewriteable}\}. \quad (5)$$

Those groups for which  $Pr_n(G; S_n - \{\text{id}\}) = 1$  (or, in the infinite case, those groups for which  $Rw_n(G; S - \{\text{id}\}) = G^n$ ) will be referred to as  $n$ -rewriteable groups. The notion of rewriteability has its origins in automata theory and is currently of considerable interest in group theory [2].

In particular, Curzio, Longobardo and Maj [3] have provided elementary proofs that the following statements are equivalent.

- i)  $G$  is 3-rewriteable; i.e.,  $xyz \in \{xzy, yxz, zyx, yxz, zyx\}$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .
- ii) The order of  $G'$ , the derived group of  $G$ , is 1 or 2.
- iii) Each conjugacy class of  $G$  is of order 1 or 2.

The equivalence of i) and iii) suggests a connection between 3-rewriteability and  $Pr_2(G)$ . Notice that the average order of a conjugacy class of a 3-rewriteable group is less than two; i.e.,  $|G|/k < 2$ . Thus  $Pr_2(G) = k/|G| > 1/2$  for 3-rewriteable groups. An appeal to character theory establishes the converse.  $G$  has  $k$  irreducible characters and  $|G|/|G'|$  irreducible characters of degree one. Thus

$$|G| \geq (|G|/|G'|) \cdot 1^2 + (k - |G|/|G'|) \cdot 2^2$$

which implies

$$1 \geq -3/|G'| + 4k/|G|.$$

If  $k/|G| > 1/2$  then  $1 > -3/|G'| + 2$  from which it follows that  $|G'| \leq 2$ . So, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** A finite group  $G$  is 3-rewriteable if, and only if,  $Pr_2(G) > 1/2$ .

The purposes of this note are to provide an elementary proof that  $Pr_2(G) > 1/2$  is sufficient for 3-rewriteability and to prompt a numerical approach to rewriteability by making the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE.** *If  $G$  is not  $n$ -rewriteable then there exists  $\rho_n(S) < 1$ , independent of  $G$ , such that  $Pr_n(G; S) \leq \rho_n(S) < 1$ .*

**An elementary proof.** We will assume that  $G$  is not 3-rewriteable and prove that  $Pr_2(G) \leq 1/2$ . The following three lemmas, which are of some interest in their own right, help organize the proof.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $G$  for which  $[G : C(x)] = 2$  and  $C(y) \cap (G - C(x)) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $[G : C(xy)] \geq [G : C(y)]$ .*

**Proof:** The conjugacy class of  $y$  in  $G$ ,  $y^G$ , may be written  $\{y^{g_1}, y^{g_2}, \dots, y^{g_n}\}$  where  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  is a complete set of right coset representatives for  $C(y)$  in  $G$ . Moreover, we may choose each coset representative in  $C(x)$ . Otherwise  $C(y)g_i \subseteq G - C(x)$ , which means that  $G - C(x) = C(x)g_i$  since  $[G : C(x)] = 2$ . Therefore  $C(y)g_i \subseteq C(x)g_i$  and so  $C(y) \subseteq C(x)$ , a contradiction. The conclusion follows because the mapping  $y^{g_i} \rightarrow xy^{g_i}$  embeds  $y^G$  in  $(xy)^G$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If at least  $3 \cdot |Z|$  elements of  $G$  have centralizers of index at least 3, then  $Pr_2(G) \leq 1/2$ .*

Proof: Let  $X = \{y \in G \mid [G : C(y)] \geq 3\}$  and let  $Y = \{y \in G \mid [G : C(y)] = 2\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|Rw_2(G)| = k \cdot |G| &\leq (|X|/3 + |Y|/2 + |Z|) \cdot |G| \\
&= (|Z| + (|X| - 3 \cdot |Z|)/3 + |Y|/2 + |Z|) \cdot |G| \\
&\leq (|Z| + (|X| - 3 \cdot |Z|)/2) + |Y|/2 + |Z| \cdot |G| \\
&= (|X| + |Y| + |Z|) \cdot |G|/2 \\
&= |G|^2/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Pr_2(G) \leq 1/2$  as claimed.

LEMMA 3. *If  $G$  is not 3-rewriteable, then  $[G : Z] \geq 6$ .*

Proof: If  $[G : Z]$  is 1, 2, 3 or 5, then  $G$  is abelian since  $G/Z$  is cyclic. If  $[G : Z] = 4$  and  $x$  is a non-central element, then  $Z \subset C(x) \subset G$  implies  $[G : C(x)] = 2$ ; i.e.,  $G$  is 3-rewriteable.

To complete the proof note that  $X \neq \emptyset$  since  $G$  is not 3-rewriteable. Choose  $g \in X$  and set  $n = [G : C(g)]$ . Then,  $Z \cup Zg \subseteq C(g)$  and  $(Z \cup Zg) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Thus  $|C(g) \cap Y| \leq |G|/n - 2|Z|$  and so  $|(G - C(g)) \cap Y| \geq |Y| - |G|/n + 2 \cdot |Z|$ . If  $x \in (G - C(g)) \cap Y$ , then  $[G : C(x)] = 2$  and  $C(g) \cap (G - C(x)) \neq \emptyset$  implies, by Lemma 1, that  $[G : C(xg)] \geq [G : C(g)] \geq 3$ . Therefore  $|X| \geq |Y| - |G|/n + 2 \cdot |Z|$ . But  $Zg \subseteq X$  and  $Zg \cap Yg = \emptyset$ , so

$$|X| \geq |Y| - |G|/n + 3 \cdot |Z|. \quad (6)$$

In view of Lemma 2 and (6) we are done if  $|Y| \geq |G|/3$ , so assume  $|Y| < |G|/3$ . In this case Lemma 3 implies that  $|X| > |G|/2$  and, therefore, that  $|X| > 3 \cdot |Z|$ . The theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. *If  $G$  is not 3-rewriteable, then at least  $|G| \cdot (n-1)/2n + |Z|$  elements of  $G$  have centralizers of index at least 3 where  $n$  is the greatest centralizer index among the elements of  $G$ . In particular, more than  $1/3$  of the elements of  $G$  have centralizers of index at least 3.*

Proof: This follows directly from (6) by substituting  $|G| - |X|$  for  $|Y| + |Z|$ .

The  $1/2$  bound for 3-rewriteability is sharp in two senses.

i)  $Pr_2(G) = 1/2$  if, and only if,  $G/Z \cong S_3$ . This is a straight forward application of Lemma 3 and the Corollary.

ii) *There exists a sequence,  $\{G_n\}$ , of 3-rewriteable groups such that  $Pr_2(G_n) \downarrow 1/2$ .* Take  $G_n$  to be the extra-special 2-group generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1}$  subject to the relations

$$x_i^2 = e, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2n+1,$$

$$[x_i, x_j] = x_1 \text{ for } i \text{ even and } j = i+1$$

and

$$[x_i, x_j] = e \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $|G_n| = 2^{2n+1}$  and  $Z = G'_n = \{e, x_1\}$  so that  $Pr_2(G_n) = k/|G_n| = 1/2 + 1/2^{2n+1}$ .

**A problem.** We encourage study of the problem of determining bounds for  $Pr_n(G; S)$ . The following lemma generalizes (3) and is in support of the conjecture made in the introduction.

LEMMA 4. *If  $n \geq 2$  and  $\sigma \in S_n - \{id\}$ , then  $|Rw_n(G; \{\sigma\})| \leq k \cdot |G|^{n-1}$ .*

Proof: The proof is by induction on  $n$ . The case for  $n = 2$  was made in (2). Now assume the result holds for  $n - 1$ .

If  $\sigma(n) = n$ , then  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  if, and only if,  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n-1)}$ . Therefore  $|Rw_n(G; \{\sigma\})| = |Rw_{n-1}(G; \{\hat{\sigma}\})| \cdot |G|$  where  $\hat{\sigma}$  is  $\sigma$  restricted to  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . The induction hypothesis yields the result.

If  $\sigma(n) < n$ , say  $\sigma(n) = m$ , then  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  if, and only if,  $x_n^{-1} x_{\sigma(j-1)}^{-1} \cdots x_{\sigma(1)}^{-1} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(j+1)} x_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots x_m$  where  $\sigma(j) = n$ . Let  $g = x_{\sigma(j-1)}^{-1} x_{\sigma(j-2)}^{-1} \cdots x_{\sigma(1)}^{-1} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}$  and  $h = x_{\sigma(j+1)} x_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots x_m$ . Notice that  $|\{x_n | x_n^{-1} g x_n = h\}|$  is  $|C(g)|$  or 0 for fixed  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$ , and that  $g$  varies over  $G$  as  $x_m$  varies over  $G$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|Rw_n(G; \{\sigma\})| &\leq \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_m} \cdots \sum_{x_{n-1}} |C(g)| \\
&= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{n-1}} (\sum_{x_m} |C(g)|) \\
&= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{n-1}} (\sum_g |C(g)|) \\
&= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{n-1}} (k \cdot |G|) \\
&= k|G|^{n-1} \text{ as claimed.}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3) and Lemma 4 that

$$Pr_n(G; S) = |Rw_n(G; S)|/|G|^n \leq |S| \cdot k/|G| \leq |S| \cdot (p_s^2 + p_s - 1)/p_s^3. \quad (7)$$

Since  $(p_s^2 + p_s - 1)/p_s^3 \downarrow 0$  as  $p_s \rightarrow \infty$  we may use (7) to conclude that, for  $|S|$  fixed and sufficiently large  $p_s$ , a “5/8-like” bound exists for  $Pr_n(G; S)$ . Specifically, if  $p_s \geq 7$ , then  $Pr_3(G; S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) \leq 275/343$ . If  $p_s < 7$ , extensive computation using CAYLEY suggests  $Pr_3(G; S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) \leq 17/18$ . Thus for 3-rewriteability our conjecture is;

*If  $G$  is not 3-rewriteable, then  $Pr_3(G; S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) \leq \rho_3(S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) = 17/18$ .*

If this conjecture proves to be true, then the 17/18 bound is sharp because

$$Pr_3(S_3; S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) = 17/18.$$

We conclude by observing that if  $G$  is a non-abelian finite simple group then,  $Pr_3(G, S_3 - \{\text{id}\}) \leq 5/12$ . This follows from (7) because  $Pr_2(G) \leq Pr_2(A_5)$  and  $Pr_2(A_5) = 1/12$  [5]. It seems likely that the bound is actually 27/100 because CAYLEY shows  $Pr_3(A_5, S_3 - \{\text{id}\})$  to be 27/100.



## References

1. E.A. Bertram, 'A density theorem on the number of conjugacy classes in finite groups', *Pacific J. Math.*, 55 (1974) 329-333.
2. R.D. Blyth and D.J.S. Robinson, 'Recent progress on rewriteability in groups', *J. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
3. M. Curzio, P. Longobardi and M. Maj, 'Su di un problema combinatorio in teoria dei gruppi', *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) 74 (1983), 136-142.
4. P. Erdos and P. Turan, 'On some problems of a statistical group-theory, IV', *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 19 (1968) 413-435.
5. J.D. Dixon, 'Problem 176'. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 16 (1973) 302.
6. W.H. Gustafson, 'What is the probability that two group elements commute?', *Amer. Math. Monthly* 80 (1973) 1031-1034.
7. E. Landau, 'Klassenzahl binarer quadratischer Formen von negativer Discriminante', *Math. Annalen*, 56 (1903) 1031-1034.
8. T.J. Laffey and D. MacHale, 'Automorphism orbits of finite groups', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* 40 (1986) 253-260.
9. G.J. Sherman, 'A probabilistic estimate of invariance for groups', *Amer. Math. Monthly* 85 (1978) 361-363.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, 'A lower bound for the number of conjugacy classes in a finite nilpotent group', *Pacific J. Math.*, 79 (1979) 253-254.
11. G.J. Sherman, T.J. Tucker and M.E. Walker, 'How Hamiltonian can a finite group be?' *Archives Math. (Basel)*, to appear.