Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal

Volume 6
Issue 2
Article 11

An Identity of Derangements

Le Anh Vinh University of New South Wales, avle908@cse.unsw.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholar.rose-hulman.edu/rhumj

Recommended Citation

An identity of derangements

Le Anh Vinh School of Mathematics University of New South Wales Sydney 2052 NSW

Abstract

In this note, we present a new identity for derangements. As a corrolary, we have a combinatorial proof of the irreducibility of the standard representation of symmetric groups.

1 Introduction

A derangement is the permutation σ of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ that there is no i satisfying $\sigma(i) = i$. It is well-known that the number d(n) of dereangements equals:

$$d(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!}$$

and satisfies the following identity (since both sides are the number of permutations on n letters)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} d(k) = n!. \tag{1}$$

The Stirling set number S(n, m) is the number of ways of partitioning a set of n elements into m nonempty sets. We definte $[x]_r = x(x-1) \dots (x-r+1)$ (by convention $[x]_0 = 1$). Then (see [4])

$$x^{n} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} S(n,m)[x]_{r}.$$
 (2)

The number of ways a set of n elements can be partitioned into nonempty subsets is called a Bell number and is denoted B_n . We use the convention that $B_0 = 1$. The integer B_n can also be define by the sum (see [3])

$$B_n = \sum_{m=0}^n S(n,m) \tag{3}$$

The main result of this note is the following generalization of (1).

Theorem 1 Suppose that $n \ge m$ are two natural numbers. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^m \binom{n}{k} d(n-k) = B_m n!. \tag{4}$$

We use the convention that $\binom{n}{m} = 0$ if m < 0 or n < m. Also set d(k) = 0 if k < 0 and d(0) = 1. Note that taking m = 0 in (4) implies (1) since $B_0 = 1$. Furthermore, by linearity we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 Suppose that $n \ge m$ are two natural numbers. Let $g(x) = a_m x^m + \ldots + a_0$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} g(k) \binom{n}{k} d(n-k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i B_i \right\} n!.$$
 (5)

2 Some Lemmas

We define $f_n(k)$ to be the number of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that fix exactly k positions. By convention, $f_n(k) = 0$ if k < 0 or k > n. We have the following recursion for $f_n(k)$.

Lemma 1 Suppose that n, k are positive integers. Then

$$f_{n+1}(k) = f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1).$$

Proof Let σ be any permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ which has exactly k fixed points. We have two cases.

- 1. Suppose that $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$. Then σ corresponds to a restricted permutation on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ which fixes k-1 points of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This case applies to the first term in the statement of the lemma.
- 2. Suppose that $\sigma(n+1) = i$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Then there exists $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\sigma(j) = n + 1$. There are two separate subcases.
 - (a) If i = j then we can obtain a correspondence between σ and a permutation σ' of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ from σ as follows: $\sigma'(i) = i$ and $\sigma'(t) = \sigma(t)$ for $t \neq i$. It is clear that σ' has k+1 fixed points. Conversely, for each permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that has k+1 fixed points, we can choose i to be any of its fixed points and then swapping i and n+1 to have a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ that has k fixed points. This case applies to the third term in the statement of the lemma.
 - (b) If $i \neq j$ then we can obtain a correspondence between σ and a permutation σ' of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ from σ as follows: $\sigma'(j)=i$ and $\sigma'(t)=\sigma(t)$ for $t\neq j$. It is clear that σ' has k fixed points. Conversely, for each permutation σ' of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ that has k fixed points, we can choose any j such that $\sigma'(j)=i\neq j$, and get back a permutation σ of $\{1,\ldots,n+1\}$ that has k fixed points by letting $\sigma(t)=\sigma'(t)$ for $t\neq j,n+1,\sigma(j)=n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1)=\sigma'(j)=i$. This case applies to the second term in the statement of the lemma.

Hence $f_{n+1}(k) = f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)$ for all n, k. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 1 can be applied to obtain the following identity for $f_n(k)$ (Note that $f_n(k) = 0$ whenever k < 0 or k > n).

Lemma 2 Suppose that n, k, t are integers, $t \ge -1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} [k]_{t+1} f_n(k) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } n \geqslant t+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof We prove this using a double induction. The outer induction is on t and the inner one is on n. By convention, $[k]_0 = 1$. Also we have $\sum_k f_n(k) = n!$ which is trivial from the definition of $f_n(k)$. Hence the claim holds for t = -1. Next, suppose that the claim holds for t = -1. We prove that it holds for t. Define

$$F(n,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} [k]_{t+1} f_n(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1) \dots (k-t) f_n(k).$$

Suppose that $n \leq t$. If $f_n(k) \neq 0$ then $0 \leq k \leq n \leq t$. But this implies that $k(k-1) \dots (k-t) = 0$. Hence F(n,t) = 0 if $n \leq t$.

Suppose that n = t + 1. Then

$$F(n,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1) \dots (k-(n-1)) f_n(k) = n! f_n(n) = n!$$

since all but the last term of the sum equal zero. Hence the claim holds for n = t + 1. For the inner induction, suppose that F(n,t) = n! for some $n \ge t + 1$. We will show that F(n+1,t) = (n+1)!. From Lemma 1, we have

$$F(n+1,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)f_{n+1}(k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)\left[f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)\right]$$

$$= nF(n,t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)\left[f_n(k-1) - kf_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)\right]$$

Since $f_n(-1) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)f_n(k-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1)k\dots(k-t+1)f_n(k).$$

Similarly $f_n(n+1) = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)kf_n(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)kf_n(k).$$

And $f_n(n+1) = f_n(n+2) = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)(k+1)f_n(k+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k-1)\dots(k-t-1)kf_n(k).$$

Therefore, we have

$$F(n+1,t) = nF(n,t)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1) \dots (k-t+1)[(k+1) - (k-t)k + (k-t)(k-t-1)]f_n(k)$$

$$= nF(n,t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1) \dots (k-t+1)[(k+1) - (k-t)(t+1)]f_n(k)$$

$$= nF(n,t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} k(k-1) \dots (k-t+1)[(t+1) - (k-t)t]f_n(k)$$

$$= nF(n,t) + (t+1)F(n,t-1) - tF(n,t)$$

$$= nn! + (t+1)n! - tn!$$

$$= (n+1)!.$$
(6)

To see (6), note that the claim is true for t-1 by the outer induction. So F(n, t-1) = n!. Also F(n,t) = n! by the inner inductive hypothesis. Hence the claim holds for n+1. Therefore, it holds for every n, t. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \Box

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that $n \geq m$ are two natural numbers. From (2), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{m} f_{n}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} S(m, j) [k]_{j} f_{n}(k)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} S(m, j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} [k]_{j} f_{n}(k) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} S(m, j) F(n, j - 1). \tag{7}$$

From Lemma 2, F(n, j-1) = n! for all $0 \le j \le n$. Aslo, from (3) $B_m = \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j)$. Thus, (7) implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{m} f_{n}(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} S(m, j) n!$$

$$= B_{m} n!.$$
(8)

To have a permutation with exactly k fixed points, we can first choose k fixed points in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways. Then for each set of k fixed points, we have d(n-k) ways to arrange the n-k remaining numbers such that we have no more fixed points. Hence

$$f_n(k) = \binom{n}{k} d(n-k). \tag{9}$$

Substituting (9) into (8), we obtain (4). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

4 An application

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to prove the irreducibility of the standard representation of symmetric groups. Let $G = S_n$ be the symmetric group on $X = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let \mathbb{C} denote the complex numbers. Let GL(d) stand for the group of all $d \times d$ complex matrices that are invertible with respect to multiplication.

Definition 1 A matrix representation of a group G is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to GL(d)$$
.

Equivalently, to each $g \in G$ is assigned $\rho(g) \in GL(d)$ such that

- 1. $\rho(1) = I$, the identity matrix,
- 2. $\rho(qh) = \rho(q)\rho(h)$ for all $q, h \in G$.

The parameter d is called the *degree* or *dimension* of the representation and is denoted by $deg(\rho)$. All groups have the trivial representation of degree 1 which sends every $g \in G$ to the matrix (1). We denote the trivial representation by 1. An important representation of the symmetric group S_n is the permutation representation π , which is of degree n. If $\delta \in S_n$ then we let $\pi(\delta) = (r_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ where

$$r_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \delta(j) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 Let G be a finite group and let ρ be a matrix representation of G. Then the character of ρ is

$$\chi_{\rho}(g) = tr \ \rho(g),$$

where tr denotes the trace of a matrix.

It is clear from Definition 2 that if $\delta \in S_n$ then

$$\chi_1(\delta) = 1,$$

 $\chi_{\pi}(\delta) = \text{number of fixed points of } \delta.$

Definition 3 Let χ and ϕ be characters of a finite group G. Then

$$\langle \chi, \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \phi(g^{-1}).$$

A matrix representation ρ of a group is called irreducible if $\langle \chi_{\rho}, \chi_{\rho} \rangle = 1$. Maschke's Theorem (see [2, 5]) states that every representation of a finite group having positive dimension can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations. The permutation representation π can be written as a direct sum of the trivial representation 1 and another representation σ . The representation σ is called the *standard representation* of S_n . We have $\chi_{\pi} = \chi_1 + \chi_{\sigma}$ since for any $\delta \in S_n$ then $\pi(\delta) = 1(\delta) \oplus \sigma(\delta)$. Thus, for all $\delta \in S_n$ then

$$\chi_{\sigma}(\delta) = (\text{number of fixed points of } \delta) - 1.$$

Now we want to prove that σ is irreducible. In other words, we need to show $\langle \chi_{\sigma}, \chi_{\sigma} \rangle = 1$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k-1)^2 f_n(k) = n! \tag{10}$$

Identity (10) can be obtained easily from Corollary 1 as follows.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k-1)^2 f_n(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k^2 - 2k + 1) f_n(k)$$
$$= (2 - 2 + 1)n! = n!$$

since $B_0 = B_1 = 1$ and $B_2 = 2$. This implies the irreducibility of standard representation of symmetric groups.

5 Acknowlegement

I would like to thank Dr. Catherine Greenhill for careful reading of the manuscript and for suggesting valuable improvements. I also would like to thank the anonymous referee for suggesting a simplified statement of Theorem 1.

References

[1] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Boston, 1974.

- [2] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 3rd Ed, Wiley International Publishing, New York, 2004.
- [3] E.W. Weisstein, Bell Number, from MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BellNumber.html
- [4] E.W. Weisstein, Stirling Number of the Second Kind, from MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource.
 - http://mathworld.wolfram.com/StirlingNumber of the Second Kind.html
- [5] B.E. Sagan, The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions, 2nd Ed, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.